令和3年度 経済・マネジメント学群 総合型選抜

英 語 1/3

リーディング

[Directions] Read the text below to prepare for the interview and the writing test.

- 1) You will be asked to answer questions and to write an essay based on this text.
- 2) You can take notes in this booklet and bring them with you so that you can refer to your notes during the interview and while you are writing your essay.

Why do people differ?

Since the dawn of time, people have thought differently, acted differently, and fared differently from each other. It was guaranteed that someone would ask the question of why people differed—why some people are smarter or more moral—and whether there was something that made them permanently different. Experts lined up on both sides. Some claimed that there was a strong physical basis for these differences, making them unavoidable and unalterable. Through the ages, these alleged physical differences have included bumps on the skull (phrenology*), the size and shape of the skull (craniology*), and, today, genes.

Others pointed to the strong differences in people's backgrounds, experiences, training, or ways of learning. It may surprise you to know that a big champion of this view was Alfred Binet, the inventor of the IQ test. Wasn't the IQ test meant to summarize children's unchangeable intelligence? In fact, no. Binet, a Frenchman working in Paris in the early twentieth century, designed this test to identify children who were not profiting from the Paris public schools, so that new educational programs could be designed to get them back on track. Without denying individual differences in children's intellects, he believed that education and practice could bring about fundamental changes in intelligence. Here is a quote from one of his major books, Modern Ideas About Children, in which he summarizes his work with hundreds of children with learning difficulties:

A few modern philosophers . . . assert that an individual's intelligence is a fixed quantity, a quantity which cannot be increased. We must protest and react against this brutal pessimism. . . . With practice, training, and above all, method, we manage to increase our attention, our memory, our judgment and literally to become more intelligent than we were before.

Who's right? Today most experts agree that it's not either-or. It's not nature or nurture, genes or environment. From conception on, there's a constant give-and-take between the two. In fact, as Gilbert Gottlieb, an eminent neuroscientist, put it, not only do genes and environment cooperate as we

令和3年度 経済・マネジメント学群 総合型選抜英語2/3

develop, but genes require input from the environment to work properly.

At the same time, scientists are learning that people have more capacity for lifelong learning and brain development than they ever thought. Of course, each person has a unique genetic endowment*. People may start with different temperaments and different aptitudes, but it is clear that experience, training, and personal effort take them the rest of the way. Robert Sternberg, the present-day guru* of intelligence, writes that the major factor in whether people achieve expertise "is <u>not some fixed prior ability</u>, but purposeful engagement." Or, as his forerunner Binet recognized, it's not always the people who start out the smartest who end up the smartest.

What does all this mean for you? The two mindsets

It's one thing to have pundits* spouting their opinions about scientific issues. It's another thing to understand how these views apply to you. For thirty years, my research has shown that *the view you adopt for yourself* profoundly affects the way you lead your life. It can determine whether you become the person you want to be and whether you accomplish the things you value. How does this happen? How can a simple belief have the power to transform your psychology and, as a result, your life?

Believing that your qualities are carved in stone—the *fixed mindset*— creates an urgency to prove yourself over and over. If you have only a certain amount of intelligence, a certain personality, and a certain moral character—well, then you'd better prove that you have a healthy dose* of them. It simply wouldn't do to look or feel deficient in these most basic characteristics.

Some of us are trained in this mindset from an early age. Even as a child, I was focused on being smart, but the fixed mindset was really stamped in by Mrs. Wilson, my sixth-grade teacher. Unlike Alfred Binet, she believed that people's IQ scores told the whole story of who they were. We were seated around the room in IQ order, and only the highest-IQ students could be trusted to carry the flag, clap the erasers, or take a note to the principal. Aside from the daily stomachaches she provoked with her judgmental stance, she was creating a mindset in which everyone in the class had one consuming goal—look smart, don't look dumb. Who cared about or enjoyed learning when our whole being was at stake every time she gave us a test or called on us in class?

I've seen so many people with this one consuming goal of proving themselves—in the classroom, in their careers, and in their relationships. Every situation calls for a confirmation of their intelligence, personality, or character. Every situation is evaluated: Will I succeed or fail? Will I look smart or dumb? Will I be accepted or rejected? Will I feel like a winner or a loser? But doesn't our society value intelligence, personality, and character? Isn't it normal to want these traits? Yes, but . . .

There's another mindset in which these traits are not simply a hand you're dealt and have to live with, always trying to convince yourself and others that you have a royal flush when you're secretly worried

令和3年度 経済・マネジメント学群 総合型選抜

語 3/3 英

it's a pair of tens. In this mindset, the hand you're dealt is just the starting point for development.

This growth mindset is based on the belief that your basic qualities are things you can cultivate

through your efforts, your strategies, and help from others. Although people may differ in every which

way-in their initial talents and aptitudes, interests, or temperaments-everyone can change and

grow through application and experience.

Do people with this mindset believe that anyone can be anything, that anyone with proper motivation

or education can become Einstein or Beethoven? No, but they believe that a person's true potential is

unknown (and unknowable); that it's impossible to foresee what can be accomplished with years of

passion, toil, and training.

Did you know that Darwin and Tolstoy were considered ordinary children? That Ben Hogan, one of

the greatest golfers of all time, was completely uncoordinated and graceless as a child? That the

photographer Cindy Sherman, who has been on virtually every list of the most important artists of

the twentieth century, failed her first photography course? That Geraldine Page, one of our greatest

actresses, was advised to give it up for lack of talent?

You can see how the belief that cherished qualities can be developed creates a passion for learning.

Why waste time proving over and over how great you are, when you could be getting better? Why hide

deficiencies instead of overcoming them? Why look for friends or partners who will just shore up your

self-esteem instead of ones who will also challenge you to grow? And why seek out the tried and true,

instead of experiences that will stretch you? The passion for stretching yourself and sticking to it,

even (or especially) when it's not going well, is the hallmark of the growth mindset. This is the mindset

that allows people to thrive during some of the most challenging times in their lives.

[出典] Excerpt from MINDSET: THE NEW PSYCHOLOGY OF SUCCESS by Carol S. Dweck, Ph.D.,

copyright © 2006, 2016, by Carol S. Dweck, Ph.D.. Used by permission of Random House, an imprint and

division of Penguin Random House LLC. All rights reserved.

[注]

*phrenology: 骨相学

*craniology: 頭骨学

*endowment: a natural quality or ability that someone has

*guru: someone who knows a lot about a particular subject, and gives advice to other people

*pundits: experts in a particular field

*dose: amount of something

- 6 -